Hyperfine Spectroscopy and Characterization of Muonium in ZnGeP₂

P.W. Mengyan^{*a*}, B.B. Baker^{*a*}, R.L. Lichti^{*a*}, K.H. Chow^{*b*}, Y.G. Celebi^{*c*}, K.T. Zawilski^{*d*}, and P.G. Schunemann^{*d*}

^a Department of Physics, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409-1051, USA
 ^b Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton T6G 2G7, Canada
 ^c Department of Physics, Istanbul University, Beyazit, 34459 Istanbul, Turkey
 ^d BAE Systems, Advanced Systems and Technology, Nashua, NH 03061-0868, USA

The Experiment

•Transverse field (TF) hyperfine (HF) spectroscopy (Fig. 1) performed using *HiTime* high frequency spectrometer in the M15 beamline at TRIUMF, Vancouver Canada

•Longitudinal field (LF) T₁⁻¹ depolarazation measurements (Fig. 2) using EMU spectrometer at STFC ISIS Facility, UK

Introduction

The purpose of this study is to extend the investigation of muonium defect centers as an experimentally accessible analog of isolated hydrogen defect states in semiconductors into the chalcopyrite structured II-IV-V₂ compounds. Also, we aspire to increase the understanding of probe dynamics associated with using positive muons to characterize the atomic-scale magnetism in Mn-doped II-IV-V₂ compounds.

The chalcopyrite structured II-IV- V_2 compounds are well developed and optimized as infrared active non-linear optical materials [1]. Several members of this class of compounds have shown room temperature ferromagnetism when doped with Mn, allowing these compounds to be possible

The Sample

Shown (Right)

Nominally undoped single crystal of ZnGeP₂ with dimensions of 8x8x1 mm³ and an [001] orientation







Fig. 1: Above is a TF MuSR FFT from the HF spectroscopy performed at T=5K and B=4.0T. The middle line, labeled μ^+ , at 542.14 MHz is the signal from the bare muon; where $v_{12} = 432.1$ MHz (left) and $v_{34} = 1534.8$ MHz (right) are the HF lines. Note that v_{12} precesses in a direction opposite of μ^+ and v_{34} . *Inset* shows variation of Mu⁰ hyperfine parameter as a function of temperature in B_{TF} = 4.0 T. The solid line is the result of the current best model fit (Einstein model) following from equation 2 [3,4].

Results and Interpretation

• μ^+ follows $f = \gamma_{\mu} B$ where $\gamma_{\mu} = 135.54$ MHz/T which is the diamagnetic frequency [4]

v₁₂ and v₃₄ show behavior characteristic of hyperfine splitting from a muonium atom, Mu⁰ with varying temperature and applied field [5]
HF interaction for promptly formed Mu⁰ state (visible in TF) is isotropic since variations in

sample orientation produced identical results.
T₁⁻¹ depolarization data in LF, fig. 2, showed

state with anisotropic hyperfine interaction [6] • T_1^{-1} depolarization rates as a function of field

using single state model assuming dipolar fluctuating piece of the hyperfine parameter responsible for depolarization yields hop rates $(1/\tau_c)$, shown in Fig. 4, extracted from [7]

 $T_{1}^{-1} = \left(1 - x/\sqrt{1 + x^{2}}\right) \frac{D^{2}\tau_{c}}{1 + \omega_{12}^{2}\tau_{c}^{2}} \quad (1)$ where $x = B_{LF}/B_{2}$ and $B_{2} = 0.1131$ T (decoupling field)

Further Discussion

• Sites where Mu⁰ may reside:

- T-site with Phosphorous near neighbors;
 where isotropic Mu⁰ formed
- T-site associated with II-IV sublattice;
 where anisotropic Mu⁰ formed

• Variation in HF parameter, fig. 1 inset, as function of temperature fits local-mode vibrational model (Einstein model) [8,9] ie.

 $A(T) = A_0 + \frac{C_1}{\exp(h\nu/k_B T)} + \frac{C_2}{\left[\exp(k\nu/k_B T)\right]^2}$ (2)

 A_0 = isotropic zero-temperature HF parameter $C_1 \& C_2$ = coupling constants (temperature independent) v = single vibrational frequency

• For this particular experiment, hv = 7.20 meV, similar to Mu_{II} in structurally similar ZnSe [10] • Hop rates and $2\pi A_0$ having similar order of magnitude confirm the isotropic characteristic of the 1962 MHz HF term in T_P-site and exclude the possibility of motional averaging



Fig. 3: Above is the relaxation rate of the v_{12} from TF measurements (LF shows similar results). The high relaxation rates at low temperatures (T<50K) have been assigned to quantum tunneling. Increasing relaxation rates from 100 to 200 K are assigned to thermally activated hops.



Fig. 2: Above is the HF decoupling curve from LF studies taken with fields from 0 to 400 mT. The flattening at both low and higher fields is indicative of an anisotropic hyperfine term. The isotropic piece having a value of roughly $A_2 = 3185$ MHz and dipolar contribution of D = 374 MHz.

• Upon muon implantation, Mu⁰, with isotropic hyperfine parameter of 1962 MHz, promptly formed in a phosphorous T-site

• Mu⁰ rapidly hops, visiting other sites with different hyperfine parameters where there is an abrupt change in isotropic to anisotropic hyperfine parameter occurring at each subsequent hop

• While occupying the T-site associated with the II-IV sublattice, Mu^0 has anisotropic hyperfine parameter (with axial symmetry) of $A_2 = 3185$ MHz and D = 374 MHz

• Since hop rates increase with decreasing temperature, motion below 80 K can be assigned to quantum tunneling; however, the slower decrease at lowest temperatures is commonly assigned to interactions with defects or impurities

Motion between 100 K and 200 K is commonly assigned to thermally activated hopping
Rapid increase in the relaxation rate (fig 3) above 200 K yields an ionization energy near 200 meV
Further study necessary to develop a model for the relaxation due to motion involving two distinct Mu⁰ centers

References:

Conclusions

[1] Trends in Optics and Photonics, 19 (1998).
 [2] Demen et al, Phys Stat Sol c 1 (2004) 3525.
 [3] RF Kiefl et al, Phys Rev Lett 62 (1989) 7.
 [4] BD Patterson, Rev Mod Phys 60 (1988) 1.
 [5] A Schenk, "Muon Spin Rotation Spectroscopy", (Adam Hilger, Bristol 1985)

[6] FL Pratt, *Phil Mag Lett* **75** (1997) 6 371.
[7] R Kadono. *Hyperfine Interact.* **64** (1990) 615.
[8] E Roduner et al, *J Chem Phys* **102** (1995) 5989.
[9] JR Morton et al, *Phys Rev B* **49** (1994) 12446.
[10] RC Vilão et al, *Phys Rev B* **72** (2005) 235203.



Acknowledgements:

Research supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation, Welch Foundation, and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

