

Assess the nature of cholesterol-lipid interactions through the chemical potential of cholesterol in phosphatidylcholine bilayers

Md Rejwan Ali, Kwan Hon Cheng, and Juyang Huang

PNAS published online Mar 19, 2007; doi:10.1073/pnas.0611450104

This information is current as of March 2007.

	This article has been cited by other articles: www.pnas.org#otherarticles
E-mail Alerts	Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or click here.
Rights & Permissions	To reproduce this article in part (figures, tables) or in entirety, see: www.pnas.org/misc/rightperm.shtml
Reprints	To order reprints, see: www.pnas.org/misc/reprints.shtml

Notes:

Assess the nature of cholesterol—lipid interactions through the chemical potential of cholesterol in phosphatidylcholine bilayers

Md Rejwan Ali, Kwan Hon Cheng, and Juyang Huang*

Department of Physics, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409

Edited by Kai Simons, Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics, Dresden, Germany, and approved February 9, 2007 (received for review December 21, 2006)

Cholesterol plays a vital role in determining the physiochemical properties of cell membranes. However, the detailed nature of cholesterol-lipid interactions is a subject of ongoing debate. Existing conceptual models, including the Condensed Complex Model, the Superlattice Model, and the Umbrella Model, identify different molecular mechanisms as the key to cholesterol-lipid interactions. In this work, the compositional dependence of the chemical potential of cholesterol in cholesterol/phosphatidylcholine mixtures was systematically measured at high resolution at 37°C by using an improved cholesterol oxidase (COD) activity assay. The chemical potential of cholesterol was found to be much higher in di18:1-PC bilayers than in di16:0-PC bilayers, indicating a more favorable interaction between cholesterol and saturated chains. More significantly, in 16:0,18:1-PC and di18:1-PC bilayers, the COD initial-reaction rate displays a series of distinct jumps near the cholesterol mole fractions (χ_c) of 0.15, 0.25, 0.40, 0.50, and 0.57 and a peak at the cholesterol maximum solubility limit of 0.67. These jumps have been identified as the thermodynamic signatures of stable cholesterol regular distributions. In contrast, no such jumps were evident in di16:0-PC bilayers below χ_{C} of 0.57. The observed chemical potential profile is in excellent agreement with previous Monte Carlo simulations based on the Umbrella Model but not with the predictions from the other models. The data further indicate that the cholesterol regular distribution domains (superlattices) are not the hypothesized condensed complexes. Those complexes were mainly implicated from studies on lipid monolayer that may not be relevant to the lipid bilayer in cell membranes.

biomembrane | chemical activity | free energy | liposome | rapid solvent exchange method

holesterol plays a vital role in determining the physiochemical properties of cell membranes. The presence of cholesterol in a lipid membrane can drastically increase lipid acyl chain order, induce cholesterol regular distributions (superlattices) or lipid raft domains, and modulate the activities of surface-acting enzymes (1-4). Despite significant technical advances in membrane research in recent years, the detailed nature of cholesterol-lipid interactions is a subject of ongoing debate. Existing conceptual models, including the Condensed Complex Model, the Superlattice Model, and the Umbrella Model, identify different molecular mechanisms as the key to cholesterol-lipid interactions in biomembranes. Clearly, there is an urgent need to establish a general cholesterol-lipid interaction theory that can explain how cholesterol supports or modulates important functions in cell membranes and perhaps can predict the behavior and functional role of cholesterol in complex membranes.

Condensed Complex Model. This model was initially proposed based on a study of lipid monolayers at the air-water interface (5). The model hypothesizes the existence of low free-energy stoichiometric cholesterol-lipid complexes that occupy smaller molecular lateral areas (5, 6). At a stoichiometric composition,

a sharp jump in cholesterol chemical potential (μ_C) has been predicted (6, 7), as shown in Fig. 1*a*. Because the proposed condensed complex has a compact low-energy structure, the model explicitly predicted that cholesterol can form condensed complexes with lipids with which it can mix favorably, such as phosphatidylcholine (PC) with long saturated chains or sphingomyelins. It has also been suggested that cholesterol superlattices as well as lipid rafts are examples of the proposed condensed complexes (6, 8). According to this model, the ability to form cholesterol–lipid condensed complexes represents an essential feature of cholesterol–lipid interactions.

Superlattice Model. The superlattice distribution was initially proposed based on the observation of a series of "kinks" or "dips" in the ratio of excimer to monomer fluorescence of pyrene-PC in PC bilayers at some particular mole fractions (9, 10). The bulky pyrene moieties were thought to form hexagonal superlattices to maximize separation from each other. Later, data on cholesterol/phospholipid mixtures containing fluorophores indicated that cholesterol could also form superlattices in lipid bilayers (3, 11, 12). This model is mainly a geometrical one that predicts the existence of a regular distribution of cholesterol at certain critical compositions in lipid bilayers. This model suggests that the difference in the cross-sectional area between cholesterol and other lipid molecules can result in a long-range repulsive force among cholesterols and thereby produce superlattice distributions (3, 9). Many superlattice structures have been predicted from a set of algebraic equations based on a geometric-symmetry argument. At the cholesterol mole fractions where superlattices occur, dips in free energy have also been suggested (13). Because $\mu_{\rm C}$ can be calculated by taking the derivative of the free-energy profile (14), this model also implies that the $\mu_{\rm C}$ should have sharp spikes at those predicted mole fractions (Fig. 1b). The Superlattice Model emphasizes that the long-range repulsive force among cholesterols plays the dominant role in cholesterol-lipid interactions.

Umbrella Model. Cholesterol consists of a small 3β -OH polar headgroup and a bulky hydrophobic tetrameric ring, and a short acyl chain. The Umbrella Model suggests that the mismatch between the small cholesterol polar headgroup with its large

Author contributions: J.H. designed research; M.R.A. and J.H. performed research; K.H.C. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; M.R.A. and J.H. analyzed data; and J.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS direct submission.

Abbreviations: χ_{C_s} cholesterol mole fraction; COD, cholesterol oxidase; DOPC, 1,2-oleoylsn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; MC simulation, Monte Carlo simulation; μ_{C_s} chemical potential of cholesterol; DPPC, 1,2-palmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; PC, phosphatidylcholine; POPC, 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; RSE, rapid solvent exchange method.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: juyang.huang@ttu.edu.

^{© 2007} by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA



Fig. 1. Schematic of the $\mu_{\rm C}$ as a function of $\chi_{\rm C}$ predicted by various models. (a) The Condensed Complex Model predicted a jump in $\mu_{\rm C}$ at a stoichiometric composition (7). (b) The Superlattice Model predicted dips in free energy at superlattice compositions (13), which also implied sharp spikes in $\mu_{\rm C}$. (c) The Umbrella Model predicted a cascade of jump in $\mu_{\rm C}$, and each jump corresponds to a stable cholesterol regular distribution (14).

nonpolar body determines the preferential association of cholesterol with certain membrane molecules (14, 15). The model was initially proposed to explain the data of cholesterol maximum solubility in lipid bilayers. In a bilayer, cholesterol relies on the coverage by the large polar headgroups of the neighboring phospholipids to prevent the unfavorable free energy of exposure of the nonpolar part of cholesterol to water. Because it costs much more free energy to cover a cholesterol cluster than a single cholesterol, a crucial property of cholesterol emerges, i.e., cholesterol molecules have a strong tendency not to cluster or at least not to form large clusters. To reduce the free-energy cost, cholesterol in a bilayer distributes in a manner so as to minimize cholesterol cluster size in the high-cholesterol region. This mechanism has been formulated as a multibody interaction among lipids. Monte Carlo (MC) simulations based on this interaction showed that cholesterol can form a "hexagonal" pattern at a cholesterol mole fraction ($\chi_{\rm C}$) = 0.50, a "dimer" pattern at 0.571, and a "maze" pattern at 0.667 (Fig. 2). The simulation also showed that the formation of a stable regular distribution is always accompanied by a jump in $\mu_{\rm C}$ (14). Thus, a jump in $\mu_{\rm C}$ is a thermodynamic indicator of the formation of a regular distribution. In addition, with the assumption that the energy cost of covering a cholesterol cluster increases nonlinearly with the cluster size, a cascade of jumps in $\mu_{\rm C}$ has also been predicted (Fig. 1c). Note that $\mu_{\rm C}$ is a gradually increasing function of $\chi_{\rm C}$ in between the jumps of $\mu_{\rm C}$, indicating the overall favorable mixing of cholesterol with PC. In the low-cholesterol region ($\chi_{\rm C} < 0.45$), a MC simulation study showed that cholesterol can form a series of regular distributions if two require-



Fig. 2. Six regular distributions of cholesterol that have been successfully simulated previously by using MC simulation based on the Umbrella Model. Filled circles, cholesterol; open circles, acyl chains of PC. (a) $\chi_{C} = 0.154$. (b) $\chi_{C} = 0.25$. (c) $\chi_{C} = 0.40$. (d) Monomer pattern at $\chi_{C} = 0.50$. (e) Dimer pattern at $\chi_{C} = 0.571$. (f) Maze pattern at $\chi_{C} = 0.667$, which is the maximum solubility limit of cholesterol in PC.



Fig. 3. COD initial-reaction rate as a function of cholesterol mole fraction in the high-cholesterol region. The shaded bars indicate the locations of expected jumps at 0.50 and 0.571 and the cholesterol maximum solubility limit at 0.667 predicted by the Umbrella Model. The width of the bars reflects the experimental uncertainty in $\chi_{\rm C}$ in our samples (\pm 0.015). (a) Average curves, each obtained from three independent sample sets. (*Inset*) COD reaction progress curves of DOPC/cholesterol mixtures. The reaction rate is higher at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.60$ than that at 0.50. (b) Individual curves. The jumps at 0.5 and 0.57

ments are met: (i) a strong tendency for cholesterol to stay as monomer; and (ii) a multibody interaction of phospholipid acyl chains with cholesterol, which tends to minimize the acyl chain contact with cholesterol (15).

Because different models have different predictions for the chemical potential profile, an experimental measurement of $\mu_{\rm C}$ can be used to test the validity of the models. In the work reported here, the $\mu_{\rm C}$ in 1,2-palmitoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC; di16:0-PC), 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC; di18:1-PC), and 1,2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC; di18:1-PC) bilayers was measured systematically by using an improved cholesterol oxidase (COD) activity assay. The three PCs were specifically chosen to test the cholesterol interaction with lipids of saturated, mixed, and unsaturated acyl chains. The results were used to judge the merits of each model and to explore the fundamental nature of cholesterol–lipid interactions.

Results

COD Initial-Reaction Rate in the High-Cholesterol Region. The initial rate of COD activity was obtained by fitting the first 40 s of the reaction progress curves in a coupled enzyme assay (16). Two reaction progress curves of the DOPC/cholesterol mixture are shown in Fig. 3a (*Inset*). The procedure of the assay and data analysis have been described in detail elsewhere (16). Fig. 3

shows the COD initial rate as a function of cholesterol mole fraction in the high-cholesterol region ($\chi_{\rm C} > 0.45$). The initial rate has a global peak around $\chi_{\rm C} \approx 0.67$ in all three PC bilayers. These global peaks indicate the maximum solubility of cholesterol in the bilayers (16, 17). As $\chi_{\rm C}$ approaches the maximum solubility limit, μ_{C} in lipid bilayers increases sharply until it equals $\mu_{\rm C}$ in cholesterol monohydrate crystals and results in a sharp increase of the COD initial-reaction rate. Above the maximum solubility limit, the bilayer phase and the cholesterol crystal phase coexist, and $\mu_{\rm C}$ should remain constant. Once the crystal phase appears, the COD initial-reaction rate no longer follows the behavior of $\mu_{\rm C}$, and it displays a sharp decline as discussed previously (16). The position of the global peak can therefore be used to determine the cholesterol maximum solubility. In Fig. 3a, the peak positions for DOPC, POPC, and DPPC bilayers are 0.66, 0.67, and 0.68, respectively. These values are in excellent agreement with the previous measurements by x-ray diffraction, light scattering, and fluorescence spectroscopy (17, 18).

An obvious feature of Fig. 3 is that the COD initial rate is highest in DOPC bilayers and lowest in DPPC bilayer at the same $\chi_{\rm C}$. Because the initial rate largely reflects $\mu_{\rm C}$ in the bilayer, it indicates that $\mu_{\rm C}$ is lowest in a PC bilayer with all saturated chains (DPPC), higher in a PC bilayer with mixed chains (POPC), and highest in a PC bilayer with all unsaturated chains (DOPC). A higher $\mu_{\rm C}$ reflects a stronger tendency for cholesterol to escape from the bilayer. This result indicates that cholesterol interacts more favorably with saturated chains than unsaturated chains, which is consistent with the experimental findings that cholesterol has a low affinity for unsaturated acyl chains and can selectively form associations with saturated chains (19).

Fig. 3a shows the average curves of COD initial rates in DOPC, POPC, and DPPC bilayers, each obtained from three independent sample sets. The COD initial rate shows several interesting jumps. In POPC bilayers, the initial rate has clear jumps at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.52$ and 0.58. In DOPC bilayers, the initial rate shows jumps at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.51, 0.57$, and 0.62. In contrast, the initial rate in DPPC bilayers only has a large jump at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.63$ and a tiny jump at 0.58. Previous MC simulations showed that the location of a jump (i.e., the lipid composition of the corresponding regular distribution) is at the beginning of the sharp rise in $\mu_{\rm C}$ (14). Therefore, the locations of jumps in the COD initialreaction rate were determined by using the same criterion in this work. It should be pointed out that these jumps are usually steeper in individual sample sets, as shown in Fig. 3b. Because of the experimental uncertainty in cholesterol mole fraction in our samples (± 0.015), the sharpness of the jumps in the average curves (Fig. 3a) is smoothed out considerably. The vertical shaded bars in Fig. 3 indicate the positions of expected chemical potential jumps at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.50$ and 0.571 and cholesterol maximum solubility limit at 0.667 predicted from the MC simulations based on the Umbrella Model. The width of the bars represents the experimental uncertainty in $\chi_{\rm C}$ in our samples (±0.015). In Fig. 3, the locations of the jumps and peaks do not fall exactly on the predicted values. To evaluate the degree of agreement, additional experiments using small sample sets covering the jump at 0.50 and the peak at 0.667 were performed. The locations were determined for each sample set, and the mean values were then calculated. The mean \pm SD (n) of the jump at 0.50 was 0.495 \pm 0.016 (n = 6) and 0.497 ± 0.015 (n = 7) for DOPC and POPC, respectively. Here, n is the number of independent sample sets measured. For the peak at 0.667, the results were 0.671 ± 0.011 $(n = 6), 0.668 \pm 0.012 \ (n = 7), \text{ and } 0.677 \pm 0.011 \ (n = 5) \text{ for}$ DOPC, POPC, and DPPC, respectively. Therefore, the measured locations agree favorably with the predicted values within the standard deviations of the data.



Fig. 4. COD initial-reaction rate as a function of $\chi_{\rm C}$ in the low-cholesterol region. The shaded bars indicate the locations of expected jumps at 0.154, 0.25, and 0.40 predicted by the Umbrella Model. The width of the bars reflects the experimental uncertainty in $\chi_{\rm C}$.

COD Initial-Reaction Rate in the Low-Cholesterol Region. Fig. 4 shows the COD initial rate in the low-cholesterol region ($\chi_{\rm C} < 0.45$). To resolve small jumps at very low cholesterol concentrations, three times more COD (0.3 unit per sample) was used for the low-cholesterol samples. Similar to the high-cholesterol region, the dominant feature of the figure is that the initial rate is highest in DOPC bilayers and lowest in DPPC bilayers. The differences in rate are quite spectacular. For example, at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.35$, the rates in POPC and DOPC bilayers are ≈11 and 44 times higher than that in DPPC bilayers, respectively. The data indicate that cholesterol interaction with unsaturated acyl chains is very unfavorable compared with saturated chains in this region. Although the COD initial rate generally increases with $\chi_{\rm C}$ in all three PC bilayers, the rate behaves quite differently in different bilayers. Clear jumps can be seen at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.25$ and 0.40 in DOPC and POPC bilayers, whereas the rate in DPPC bilayers is featureless and flat. A close look at low $\chi_{\rm C}$ showed that the rate also has a small but distinct jump at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.15$ in DOPC but not in others (Fig. 4 Inset).

Discussion

What Does a Jump or a Lack of a Jump in μ_c Mean? Based on a MC simulation study, it has been shown that the formation of a stable regular distribution is always directly associated with a jump in μ_c (14). Thus, a jump in μ_c is a thermodynamic indicator of a stable regular distribution formation. These stable lipid domains can be quite large, containing a few hundred molecules. On the other hand, if the magnitude of multibody interaction is not sufficiently large to produce a stable regular distribution and to cause a jump in chemical potential, much smaller and unstable dynamic domains can still exist (18).

Jumps in μ_c in the High-Cholesterol Region. Here, the result will be discussed under the framework of the Umbrella Model because other models offer little or no explanation about the detailed molecular interactions for a particular regular distribution. The behavior of μ_c in the high-cholesterol region can provide some crucial details about the cholesterol–lipid interaction. According to the MC simulations with the Umbrella Model, the molecular driving force for the regular distribution at $\chi_c = 0.50$ is that the free-energy cost of covering a cholesterol dimer is significantly higher than that of covering a cholesterol monomer (14). If the difference is sufficiently large, cholesterol would stay as monomer as long as it could, which can result in a monomer regular

distribution pattern at 0.50 (Fig. 2d). The height of the jump in $\mu_{\rm C}$ is directly related to that energy difference. Because an unsaturated chain containing a cis double bond is more "bulky" or less "compressible" than a saturated chain, DPPC with both saturated chains should have the largest "headgroup/body" ratio (or excess headgroup capacity to cover neighboring cholesterol clusters) among the three PCs. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that DPPC can cover a cholesterol dimer more easily (i.e., at a lower free-energy cost) than does POPC or DOPC. Thus, the driving force to form a regular distribution at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.50$ is weakest in DPPC and strongest in DOPC. This assumption is indeed the case, as shown in Fig. 3: No jump is seen at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.50$ in DPPC bilayers, and the jump was larger in DOPC than in POPC (Fig. 3b). Similarly, the driving force to form the dimer regular distribution pattern at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.571$ (Fig. 2e) is that the free-energy cost of covering a larger cholesterol cluster is much higher than that of covering a cholesterol dimer. As shown in Fig. 3, a small jump was observed at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.58$ in DPPC, and bigger jumps were present in POPC and DOPC bilayers. The data show that all three PC are capable of covering cholesterol clusters up to the solubility limit (≈ 0.67), but the free-energy cost of the coverage in DOPC increases much more rapidly as a function of $\chi_{\rm C}$ than the others do because of the chain unsaturation.

It is interesting that the COD initial rate in DPPC bilayers jumps at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.63$. In several measurements with DPPC and DOPC, jumps ≈ 0.63 have also been observed. Because a regular distribution is a highly ordered distribution, as $\chi_{\rm C}$ approaches, enters, and exits a regular distribution composition, the lateral distribution of the bilayer goes through a disorder—order– disorder transition, accordingly. Often, this transition in membrane order can alter the fluorescence properties of certain membrane fluorophores. Previously, small dips in fluorescence energy transfer efficiency and diphenylhexatrience-labeled PC fluorescence anisotropy have been found at 0.63 in DOPC bilayers (18). Whether or not this previously unassigned critical composition of 0.63 may represent a regular distribution made of "trimer" or "tetramer" pattern remains elusive. More study is needed to verify this interesting possibility.

Jumps in $\mu_{\rm C}$ in the Low-Cholesterol Region. In the low-cholesterol region, the COD initial rate showed three jumps (0.15, 0.25, and 0.4) in DOPC bilayers, two jumps (0.25 and 0.4) in POPC bilayers, and no jump in DPPC bilayers. These behaviors again can be understood through the Umbrella Model. Based on a MC simulation study, Huang (15) suggested that the driving force of cholesterol regular distributions in the low-cholesterol region is very different from that in the high-cholesterol region. In the low-cholesterol region, a series of regular distributions can be formed if two opposing types of interactions are present: a large interaction against any cholesterol clustering, and a smaller unfavorable acyl chain multibody interaction, which increases nonlinearly with the number of cholesterol contacts. A delicate balance must be maintained among the magnitudes of the two interactions. The combined effect of both interactions must still favor the cholesterol/phospholipid mixing. The first interaction likely originates from the requirement for PC to cover cholesterol. The headgroup/body ratio of a PC should be in some "optimal" range to create a strong tendency for cholesterol to avoid clustering in that particular bilayer. This strong tendency can be verified experimentally by checking whether cholesterol forms the monomer (i.e., hexagonal) regular distribution at $\chi_{\rm C}$ = 0.50. Thus, forming a stable regular distribution at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.50$ would meet the first necessary condition for forming regular distributions in the low-cholesterol region because it indicates that cholesterol tries to avoid forming dimer clusters in the bilayer due to the high free-energy cost of covering a cholesterol dimer cluster. The second necessary interaction is likely from the reduction of acyl chain conformation entropy resulting from cholesterol contact (15). Because cholesterol does not form stable regular distributions at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.50$ in DPPC bilayers, the first necessary condition is not met, which explains why there is no stable regular distribution at the low-cholesterol region in DPPC. On the other hand, cholesterol does form regular distributions at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.50$ in POPC and DOPC bilayers. COD initial-reaction rate curves show that cholesterol forms regular distributions at 0.25 and 0.4 in both bilayers. The data clearly demonstrate the direct correlation between the regular distribution at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.50$ and the regular distributions in the lowcholesterol region (0.40, 0.25, and 0.154).

No Indication for a Condensed Complex. Because the formation of condensed complexes is most likely in DPPC bilayers, and it should be accompanied by a jump in chemical potential as predicted by the Condensed Complex Model, the lack of any jump when $\chi_{\rm C} < 0.57$ in DPPC (Figs. 3 and 4) indicates that there is no evidence of condensed complexes in DPPC bilayers when $\chi_{\rm C}$ <0.57. Furthermore, the jumps (and regular distributions) above 0.57 also occur in DOPC and POPC, and they are not specific between DPPC and cholesterol. Therefore, there are unlikely to be condensed complexes but rather the regular distributions at very high cholesterol content as predicted by the Umbrella Model. It has been reported that the interactions between cholesterol and sphingomyelin are also not specific, and the driving force for the affinity between cholesterol and sphingomyelin is likely the hydrophobic interaction described by the Umbrella Model (20).

Regular Distributions (Superlattices) Are Not Condensed Complexes. In Figs. 3 and 4, the COD initial-reaction rate shows a series of jumps in POPC and DOPC bilayers. Could the corresponding cholesterol regular distributions (i.e., superlattices) be the condensed complexes, as suggested previously (6, 8)? A careful analysis of data will show that regular distributions cannot be condensed complexes: (i) In Figs. 3 and 4, there is no jump in the initial rate in DPPC bilayers when $\chi_{\rm C} < 0.57$, but four jumps appear in DOPC bilayers in the same region. Obviously, the data indicate that the tendency to form cholesterol regular distributions is much stronger in DOPC bilayers, which are bilayers with which cholesterol has a less favorable interaction, indicated by the high chemical potential. The condensed complexes, on the other hand, are supposed to be low free-energy aggregates of cholesterol and lipids, which occupy a smaller membrane lateral area. According to the Condensed Complex Model (8), the condensed complexes should be formed in a PC bilayer with which cholesterol can mix very favorably, such as with DPPC or sphingomyelins, but not with DOPC or POPC. The data obtained in this work directly contradict the model. It is well known that PC with unsaturated chains occupies more lateral area. Therefore, the regular distributions in DOPC or POPC bilayers are not structurally "condensed." In addition, the $\mu_{\rm C}$ is higher in DOPC or POPC than that in DPPC. Thus, the observed regular distributions in DOPC and POPC actually have higher free energies than the cholesterol/DPPC mixtures with the same $\chi_{\rm C}$. *(ii)* Cholesterol regular distributions lack lipid specificity. The same regular distribution can exist in DOPC, POPC, and other bilayers. The lack of lipid specificity is inconsistent with the idea of a stoichiometric complex. (iii) Cholesterol is a relative simple molecule. It is conceptually difficult to justify that cholesterol can form six different low free-energy stoichiometric complexes with one type of lipid (e.g., DOPC), and $\mu_{\rm C}$ in the complexes continuously increases with $\chi_{\rm C}$. So far, MD simulations have failed to show what the structure of condensed complex is and why free energy would be low in such complexes.

A Cholesterol-Containing Monolayer at the Air-Water Interface May Not Be Relevant to a Bilayer. Studies of lipid monolayers at the air-water interface have made important contributions to our understanding of lipid-lipid interactions in lipid bilayers and are particularly useful to estimate the lateral area occupied by membrane molecules (21). However, it should be pointed out that cholesterol-lipid interactions in a monolayer are very different from those in a lipid bilayer. At an air-water interface, the hydrophobic body of cholesterol is suspended in the air, and only the hydrophilic hydroxyl group touches the water. A pure cholesterol monolayer can easily be formed at an air-water interface, whereas it is not possible to have a pure cholesterol bilayer because the hydrophobic bodies of cholesterols would be exposed to water in such bilayer. In water, pure cholesterol forms cholesterol monohydrate crystals, not bilayers. Thus, in a lipid monolayer at the air-water interface, the key cholesterol-lipid interactions described by the Umbrella Model (i.e., the requirement of covering cholesterol hydrophobic bodies by neighboring PC headgroups) do not exit. Because the coverage requirement plays such a key role in determining molecular association and domain formation in a bilayer, one would expect that the cholesterol lateral distribution in a monolayer is different from that in a bilayer. Thus, a conclusion from a cholesterolcontaining monolayer study might not be applicable to the corresponding bilayer systems. The condensed complex was originally proposed based on a monolayer study at the air-water interface, which could partially explain the discrepancy between the model and data in this bilayer study.

Superlattice Model. The Superlattice Model correctly describes a general picture of cholesterol/PC mixing: Cholesterol tends to keep a distance from each other in a PC bilayer. The model also predicts many highly symmetrical regular distribution patterns (superlattices) at some well defined lipid compositions, and a number of those distributions have been verified experimentally through various techniques (13, 22). However, the model does have a few deficiencies: (i) It incorrectly hypothesized that the cross-sectional area difference between cholesterol and other lipid molecules can result in a long-range repulsive force among cholesterol and produce superlattice distributions. Although this mechanism does favor the mixing of cholesterol with phospholipids, it is not necessarily able to produce a regular distribution. For example, the cross-sectional area difference between cholesterol and DPPC chains is larger than that between cholesterol and DOPC chains. However, three stable regular distributions exist in DOPC and none in DPPC in the low-cholesterol region (Fig. 4). MC simulations have demonstrated that multibody interactions are required for superlattice formation. (ii) The Superlattice Model predicts superlattices from equations based on geometric symmetry, not based on a quantitative free-energy calculation. The model does correctly predict the superlattices at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.154, 0.25, 0.4, \text{ and } 0.50 \text{ but failed to predict the regular}$ distribution at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.571$ (dimer pattern) and 0.667 (maze pattern) because these two patterns do not have the symmetry it seeks. In addition, it predicts many other superlattices that are unlikely to exist, particularly at low-cholesterol mole fractions. (iii) It has been hypothesized that a superlattice distribution has a local minimum in free energy. The corresponding chemical potential profile (Fig. 1b) has been proven to be incorrect in this work. However, this free-energy minimum prediction was not a fundamental assumption of the model, which is largely a geometrical model without any explicit assumptions of specific molecular interactions among the lipid molecules.

Umbrella Model. In this work, the Umbrella Model and the consequent MC simulations have been proven quite successful in predicting and explaining the cholesterol mixing behavior with PCs: (*i*) The measured chemical potential profiles have excellent

agreement with the calculated profiles from the MC simulation based on the model (Fig. 4e in ref. 14), which shows that the Umbrella Model captures the key cholesterol-lipid interaction. (*ii*) The jumps in $\mu_{\rm C}$ at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.154, 0.25, 0.40, 0.50, 0.571$, and 0.667, predicted by the Umbrella Model, have all been observed experimentally, which indicates that stable regular distributions can exist at these compositions. (iii) The Umbrella Model naturally explains the detail molecular interactions required for each regular distribution. The result of the present work strongly supports the explanation of the driving forces for regular distributions. It shows that both the headgroup/body ratio of PC and the acyl chain conformational entropy play key roles in cholesterol superlattice formation. (iv) Unlike the Condensed Complex Model, which assumes specific chemical complex formation between cholesterol and other lipids, the Umbrella Model suggests that the key cholesterol-lipid interaction is a hydrophobic interaction that arises from the shape of a cholesterol molecule: a small polar headgroup and a large nonpolar body. The model can be generalized and applied to the interactions between other small headgroup molecules (such as diacylglycerol or ceramide) and large headgroup lipids (such as PC or sphingomyelins). A recent study showed that ceramide displaces cholesterol from POPC bilayers following a 1:1 relation. This behavior of ceramide is well explained by the Umbrella Model (16). In addition, the Umbrella Model also provided a possible driving force for the formation of lipid rafts (18).

Biological Significance. This work confirms that cholesterol-lipid interactions are deeply rooted in the detailed structures of lipid molecules. As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, one cis double bond difference in lipid structure can result in enormous differences in membrane organization and chemical activities of lipids. The data also show that a small change in membrane composition can produce a dramatic change in membrane protein activity. For example, in DOPC bilayers at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.25$, a 2 or 20 mol% increase in $\chi_{\rm C}$ resulted in a 3- or 20-fold increase of COD initial-reaction rate, respectively. The jump of chemical activity at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.25$ in POPC could be significant because POPC resembles many natural lipids. First, maintaining the cholesterol mole fraction in a cell membrane above 0.25 would cost the cell a lot more energy because of the high $\mu_{\rm C}$. Second, it has been reported that cholesterol homeostasis is regulated by the membrane $\mu_{\rm C}$ through a sensitive negative-feedback mechanism (23). If such jumps are also present in cell membranes, they could serve as a sharp cutoff-regulating signal, which could provide a possible explanation of why $\chi_{\rm C}$ in most cell membranes is below 25 mol%.

Conclusion

The measured chemical potential profiles of cholesterol in PC/cholesterol bilayers are in excellent agreement with the predictions from the Umbrella Model. The data indicate that regular distributions (superlattices) are not condensed complexes. The results in this work also question the applicability of the Condensed Complex Model to lipid bilayers. The Superlattice Model is correct in providing a general description of regular distribution behavior of cholesterol in PC bilayers based on a simple symmetry argument. However, this model is incorrect in predicting that the long-range repulsion among cholesterol is the driving force of superlattice formation and in the prediction of free-energy profiles.

Methods

Materials. POPC, DOPC, and DPPC were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). Cholesterol was purchased from Nu-Chek Prep (Elysian, MN). Lipid purity (>99%) was confirmed by thin-layer chromatography, and concentrations of phospholipid stock solutions were determined with a phosphate assay as described previously (16). Aqueous buffer (5 mM Pipes/200 mM KCl/1 mM NaN₃, pH 7.0) was prepared from deionized water ($\approx 18 \text{ M}\Omega$) and filtered through a 0.1- μ m filter before use. Recombinant cholesterol oxidase expressed in *Escherichia coli* (C-1235), peroxidase (P-8250) from horseradish, and other chemicals for the cholesterol oxidation measurements were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

Liposome Preparation. Liposomes were prepared by the rapid solvent exchange (RSE) method (24), and the procedure has been described in detail previously (16). After the RSE procedure, the samples were placed in a programmable water bath (model 1187P; VWR, West Chester, PA), preheated to 50°C for the subsequent heating and cooling cycle. The samples were first cooled to 24°C at a rate of 10°C/h and again heated to 50°C at the same rate. The samples were then kept at 50°C for an additional 1 h before finally being cooled to room temperature at a rate of 1.5°C/h. Finally, the liposomes were stored at room temperature with mechanical shaking for 10 days in the dark before the cholesterol oxidation measurements. The majority of liposomes made by the RSE method are large unilamellar vesicles and can set down in a test tube under gravity in a few hours (24).

Cholesterol Oxidation Measurements. COD is a water-soluble monomeric enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of cholesterol to cholest-4-en-3-one. The initial rate of oxidation of cholesterol by COD enzyme was determined at 37°C through a coupled enzyme assay. For all experiments, each sample contained the same amount of cholesterol (60 μ g), and the lipid compositions of samples were adjusted by adding appropriate amounts of PC. The procedure of the assay, reaction progress curves, and data analysis have been described in detail elsewhere (16). It has been shown that a COD enzyme first physically associates with lipid bilayers without perturbing the membrane structure (4). The enzyme then goes through conformation changes and provides a hydrophobic binding cavity that allows a favorable partitioning of the cholesterol from the membrane into the COD cavity. The initial-reaction rate of the oxidation should depend on COD concentration, cholesterol concentration (i.e., substrate concentration), the binding affinity of COD for lipid vesicles, and the cholesterol chemical activity in a lipid bilayer (4). Because the COD concentration and cholesterol content in our samples were

- 1. Brown DA, London E (1998) Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 14:111-136.
- 2. Vist MR, Davis JH (1990) Biochemistry 29:451-464.
- 3. Chong PL (1994) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 91:10069-10073.
- 4. Ahn KW, Sampson NS (2004) Biochemistry 43:827-836.
- 5. Radhakrishnan A, McConnell HM (1999) *Biophys J* 77:1507–1517.
- Radhakrishnan A, Anderson TG, McConnell HM (2000) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:12422–12427.
- 7. Radhakrishnan A, McConnell HM (2000) *Biochemistry* 39:8119–8124.
- Radhakrishnan A, McConnell H (2005) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:12662– 12666.
- Somerharju PJ, Virtanen JA, Eklund KK, Vainio P, Kinnunen PK (1985) Biochemistry 24:2773–2781.
- 10. Tang D, Chong PL (1992) Biophys J 63:903-910.
- 11. Liu F, Sugar IP, Chong PL (1997) Biophys J 72:2243-2254.
- Virtanen JA, Ruonala M, Vauhkonen M, Somerharju P (1995) *Biochemistry* 34:11568–11581.
- 13. Somerharju P, Virtanen JA, Cheng KH (1999) Biochim Biophys Acta 1440:32-48.

kept constant, they should not contribute to the change of the initial rate. Ahn and Sampson (4) have showed that the binding affinity of COD for vesicles is only a weak function of χ_C (4). Thus, the sharp increases of the initial rate in Figs. 3 and 4 essentially reflect the behavior of the chemical activity of cholesterol, which relates to μ_C in lipid bilayers by $\exp(\mu_C/kT)$. μ_C is directly related to the cholesterol interaction with surrounding lipids and the lateral organization within the bilayer (25). In addition, the binding affinity of COD is higher for DPPC bilayers than DOPC bilayers (4), and it should contribute to a slightly higher initial rate in DPPC. Therefore, the observed low initial-reaction rate in DPPC bilayers.

Signal-to-Noise Issue. In this work, to resolve fine structures in the $\mu_{\rm C}$ dependence on membrane composition, significant effort was made to reduce the noise in the COD initial-rate measurement. Major improvement of the signal-to-noise ratio was achieved from the combination of making liposomes by using the RSE method, temperature cycling and long-term incubation of samples, and precise control of liquid volumes and temperature. Compared with the ethanol injection and the extrusion method, RSE is a convenient method with no lipid-binding, solvent contamination, or lipid-demixing concerns (16). We tested the ethanol injection method with a set of DOPC/cholesterol samples covering the initial-rate jump at $\chi_{\rm C} = 0.25$ and found that the data obtained were noisier and the COD initial-reaction rates were much lower than those with RSE samples (data not shown), which is consistent with a previous report that ethanol reduces COD activity (16). However, the overall shape and position of the jump were essentially identical to those from the RSE samples shown in Fig. 4, which indicated that the RSE method did not introduce a measurable composition-dependent change in COD accessible surface area. The increase of COD activity as a function of $\chi_{\rm C}$ has been reported previously (4, 26). Our data are at a higher resolution and provide significant details.

We thank Prof. Gerald W. Feigenson (Cornell University) for scientific discussion and critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant MCB-0344463 and Petroleum Research Fund Grant ACS PRF 41300-AC6 (to J.H.), and Welch Research Foundation Grant D-1158 (to K.H.C.).

- 14. Huang J, Feigenson GW (1999) Biophys J 76:2142-2157.
- 15. Huang J (2002) Biophys J 83:1014-1025.
- 16. Ali MR, Cheng KH, Huang J (2006) Biochemistry 45:12629-12638.
- 17. Huang J, Buboltz JT, Feigenson GW (1999) Biochim Biophys Acta 1417:89-100.
- 18. Parker A, Miles K, Cheng KH, Huang J (2004) Biophys J 86:1532–1544.
- 19. Niu SL, Litman BJ (2002) Biophys J 83:3408-3415.
- Holopainen JM, Metso AJ, Mattila JP, Jutila A, Kinnunen PK (2004) Biophys J 86:1510–1520.
- Roach C, Feller SE, Ward JA, Shaikh SR, Zerouga M, Stillwell W (2004) Biochemistry 43:6344–6351.
- 22. Chong PLG, Olsher M (2004) Soft Materials 2:85-108.
- 23. Lange Y, Ye J, Steck TL (2004) Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:11664-11667.
- 24. Buboltz JT, Feigenson GW (1999) Biochim Biophys Acta 1417:232-245.
- 25. Wang MM, Olsher M, Sugar IP, Chong PL (2004) Biochemistry 43:2159-2166.
- Cheng KH, Cannon B, Metze J, Lewis A, Huang J, Vaughn MW, Zhu Q, Somerharju P, Virtanen J (2006) *Biochemistry* 45:10855–10864.